Interview Summary	10/615,915	YAMAZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RAKESH K. DHINGRA	1792	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>RAKESH K. DHINGRA</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>James Love</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>12 December 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 45-55.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant agreed to cance</u>		if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Rakesh K Dhingra/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792			

Application No.

Applicant(s)